IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)
FRIDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 4215 of 2002

Between:

1. K.Sivalakshmi, minor, rep.by her father
K.Venkata Swamy S/o.Pedda Venkata Swamy, age: 47 years,
R/o.Karrimaddla (v), Gadivemula Mandal, Kurnool District.
2. I.Marry, minor, rep.by her father I.Venkateswarlu
S/o.Chinna Subbaiah, aged 50 years,
R/o.Velpanoor (v), Velugodu Mandal, Kurnool District.
3. K.Sujatha Kumari, minor rep.by her father
K.Bhaskar S/o.Ganam Murthi, age: 50 years,
R/o.Pesaravai (v), Gudivemula Mandal, Kurnool District.
4. K.Vijayaratnamma, minor rep.by her father
K.Pullaiah S/o.Subbanna, age: 48 years,
R/o.Shakunala (v), Gadivemula Mandal, Kurnool District.
5. Mukkala Ramakrishnudu, minor rep.by his father
M.Lakshmanna S/o.Linganna, age: 47 years,
R/o.Karrimaddla (v), Gudivemula Mandal, Kurnool District.

... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Commissioner and Secretary,
Board of Intermediate Education, Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Controller of Examinations,
Board of Intermediate Education, Nampally, Hyderabad.

3. The Principal, Sri B.V.R.Co-op. Junior College,
Gadivemula Mandal, Kurnool District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 3 to hold and conduct the theory examinations March, 2002 for Intermediate 1st and 2nd year at Gadivemula only by selecting B.V.R.Co-op.Junior College as an examination self center.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.NAGI REDDY REPRESENTING MR.E.AYYAPU REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1&2 : GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: NONE APPEARED

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Sri Nagi Reddy, the learned counsel representing Sri E.Ayyapu Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that the writ petition has become infructuous. Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

29th October, 2004.

Pan

To

1. The Commissioner and Secretary,
   Board of Intermediate Education,
   Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Controller of Examinations,
   Board of Intermediate Education,
   Nampally, Hyderabad.
3. Two C.Cs to Govt.Pleader for Higher Education,
   High Court buildings, Hyderagad (OUT)
4. Two C.D.copies.